Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/601,345	UITTERLINDEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Katherine Salmon	1634
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Katherine Salmon</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Darla Yoerg</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 October 2007	Time: <u>4pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 6,8-12, 21, 24, 29-30		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		·
		,
		•
	·	
Valmiselm		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed putting the claims in condition for allowance.

Ms. Yourg agreed to cancel claims 21 and 24 and therefore the claims could be entered without further search and consideration as discussed in the advisory action (mail date 8/30/2007).

With regard to Claim 12, Ms. Yourg agreed to the examiners amendment to remove the term "prevent" from Claim 12 so that the claims is drawn to a method to reduce the risk.

With regard to Claim 8, the claim should have been objected to because the claim fails to further limit Claim 6. Ms. Yourg agreed to cancel Claim 8 such that the rejection would be moot. Further Ms. Yourg agreed to amend claim 9 so that it is dependent on Claim 6.